

## Galois Theory - Trace and Norm

Abigail Tan  
March 22, 2023

Consider a degree- $n$  extension  $L/K$ . We view  $L$  as an  $n$ -dimensional  $K$ -vector space. The map  $u_x : L \rightarrow L$ ,  $u_x(y) = xy$  is  $K$ -linear, so we can work with its linear-algebraic properties such as determinant and trace. Define  $\text{Tr}_{L/K}(x) = \text{tr } u_x$  and  $N_{L/K}(x) = \det u_x$ .

The main result of this chapter is:  $L/K$  is separable if and only if  $\text{Tr}_{L/K}$  is surjective.

**Proposition 1.** Let  $L/K$  be finite and separable, with degree  $n$ . Let  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n : L \rightarrow M$  be the  $n$  distinct  $K$ -homomorphisms into a normal closure  $M$  for  $L/K$ . Then

$$\text{Tr}_{L/K}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i(x), \quad N_{L/K}(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n \sigma_i(x), \quad f_{x,L/K} = \prod_{i=1}^n (T - \sigma_i(x)).$$

*Proof.* Proving the result for  $f_{x,L/K}$  is sufficient. Let  $\{e_i\}$  be a basis for  $L/K$  and  $P = (\sigma_i(e_j)) \in \text{Mat}_{n \times n}(M)$ . The  $\sigma_i$  are linearly independent, so  $P$  is not singular. Now if the matrix of  $u_x$  is  $A = (a_{ij})$ , then  $xe_j = \sum_r a_{rj}e_r$  so  $\sigma_i(x)\sigma_i(e_j) = \sum_r \sigma_i(e_r)a_{rj} \forall i, j$ . Writing  $S$  for the diagonal matrix of  $\sigma_i(x)$ , this is  $SP = PA$ , so  $S = PAP^{-1}$  so  $S$  and  $A$  have the same characteristic polynomial.  $\square$

For the following main result, the major observation is that  $\text{Tr}_{L/K} : L \rightarrow K$  is either the zero map or surjective, because it is  $K$ -linear.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $L/K$  be finite. Then  $L/K$  is separable if and only if  $\text{Tr}_{L/K}$  is surjective.

*Proof.* Let  $n = [L : K]$ . If  $\text{char } K = 0$ , then  $\text{Tr}_{L/K}(1) = n \neq 0$  so it follows immediately. Otherwise, consider  $\text{char } K = p > 0$ . Suppose  $L/K$  is separable, and write  $\text{Hom}_K(L, M) = \{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n\}$  for  $M$  a normal closure for  $L/K$ . Then  $\text{Tr}_{L/K}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i(x)$ . Since the  $\sigma_i$  are linearly independent, there is some  $x$  with  $\sum \sigma_i(x) \neq 0$ , so  $\text{Tr}_{L/K}$  is nonzero, so surjective. For the converse, suppose  $L/K$  is inseparable. Then there exists some  $x \in L$  such that  $K(x) \supsetneq K(x^p)$  (by a standard result). Hence  $\text{Tr}_{K(x)/K(x^p)} = 0$  by Cor. 5, so Prop. 3 gives  $\text{Tr}_{M/K} = 0$ .  $\square$

Preliminary results used in proving Theorem 2 are given below.

**Proposition 3.** For  $M/L/K$  finite extensions,  $\text{Tr}_{L/K}(\text{Tr}_{M/L}(x)) = \text{Tr}_{M/K}(x)$ .

**Proposition 4.** Let  $L = K(x)$  and  $f = m_{x,K} = T^n + c_{n-1}T^{n-1} + \dots + c_0 \in K[T]$ . Then  $f_{x,L/K} = f$ .

**Corollary 5.** Let  $\text{char } K = p > 0$  and  $L = K(x)$  where  $x \notin K$  and  $x^p \in K$ . Then  $\forall y \in L$ ,  $\text{Tr}_{L/K}(y) = 0$  and  $N_{L/K}(y) = y^p$ .